L ALFA International

The Global Legal Network Celebrating 40 Years

UPDATES ON PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY LAW: WHAT YOU MAY HAVE MISSED WITH EVERYTHING GOING ON September 30, 2020

© COPYRIGHT 2020 ALFA INTERNATIONAL GLOBAL LEGAL NETWORK, INC. ALL RIGHTS RESERVED.

PRESENTERS



Jeff Hengeveld Plunkett Cooney Detroit, Michigan, USA E: jhengeveld@plunkettcooney.com T: (248) 594-8202



Jacob Lehman German, Gallagher & Murtagh, P.C. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA E: lehmanj@ggmfirm.com T: (215) 545-7700



Todd Lubben Brown & James, P.C. St. Louis, Missouri, USA E: tlubben@bjpc.com T: (314) 242-5325



OVERVIEW

- LEGAL MALPRACTICE UPDATE
- BROKER/AGENT UPDATE
- D&O UPDATE





CURRENT LEGAL MALPRACTICE TRENDS





LEGAL MALPRACTICE TRENDS

- Increase in claim frequency
- Increase in severity of claims
- Increase in larger multimillion dollar payouts
- Increase in defense cost
- Conflicts remain most common malpractice error
- Business Transactions and Corporate & Securities had most claims



CONFLICTS OF INTEREST

- Revolaze, LLC v. Dentons US LLP, et al. (16-cv-01080)
- Underlying patent matter in which Dentons was disqualified because it was deemed to have represented both the plaintiff and defendant in ITC matter.
- **Outcome**: \$32.3 Million jury verdict for plaintiff
- Takeaway: Proceed with caution under Rule 1.7



CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN A TRANSACTIONAL MATTER

- CCC Atlantic, LLC v. Rosenzweig, 2020
 WL 1150042 (USDC New Jersey March 10, 2020)
- Commercial property developer defaulted on loans and lender foreclosed.
- Developer claims its attorneys failed to negotiate better terms of forebearance agreement and failed to recognize a conflict of interest in representing both a lender and the developer



CCC ATLANTIC, LLC

- Outcome: Motion to dismiss granted because no evidence the other side of the transaction would have accepted a better deal and violation of ethics rules is not a basis for a claim of malpractice.
- Takeaway: Good defense outcome despite the facts.



CLAIMS AGAINST EXPERT WITNESSES

- Estate of Voutsaras v. Bender, (944 N.W.2d 677, Michigan Supreme Court June 26, 2020)
- Plaintiff sued her own legal expert retained by her counsel for professional malpractice.
- Outcome: Court of Appeals reversed trial court's dismissal based upon the doctrine of witness immunity.
- Takeaway: Concern for liability for those who serve as experts and be careful addressing issue in engagement letter with expert.



EXPERT WITNESS AFFIDAVITS

- Georgia law requires legal malpractice lawsuits to be accompanied by an expert affidavit. Plaintiff's lawyer supports a legal malpractice lawsuit with an affidavit from a member of his own firm.
 - A. The Court should dismiss the lawsuit because this presents a conflict.
 - B. The lawsuit should not be dismissed. It is permissible for Plaintiff's counsel to utilize an affidavit from another member of his firm.



LEGAL MALPRACTICE EXPERT

- Mitchell v. Parian (Georgia Court of Appeals, June 12, 2020)
- Affidavit supporting claims for legal malpractice was from plaintiff's counsel's law partner.
- Outcome: Court of Appeals found no conflict of interest and reversed trial court's dismissal of the claim.
- Takeaway: Erosion of the integrity of a legal expert and statute requiring expert affidavit in support of a legal malpractice claim.



CLIENT SUES LEGAL MALPRACTICE CARRIER

- Irwin v. Texas Lawyers Insurance Exchange (September 2020)
- Plaintiff was told by the attorney for the insurance company not to file a claim in connection with a real estate transaction and the statute of limitations ran on the malpractice claim.
- Outcome: Too early to tell.
- Takeaway: No duty to adversary.







LAW FIRM DATA BREACH

- A law firm's computer system is "hacked" and a client's information is posted on the internet. Could the law firm be liable for breach of fiduciary duty?
 - A. No liability. The law firm did not breach any recognized fiduciary duties
 - B. Definitely liable. A company's failure to protect information is a breach of fiduciary duty
 - C. It depends. The law firm could be liable if it failed to take agreed upon steps to protect information



LAW FIRM DATA BREACH

- Wengui v. Clark Hill PLC, 440 F.Supp.3d 30 (D.D.C. Feb. 20,2020)
- Hackers breached law firm's computer system and published client's confidential information on internet
- The Court denied law firm's Motion to Dismiss breach of fiduciary duty and legal malpractice claim
- Plaintiff adequately pled law firm misrepresented security precautions









- The past three years Bob Broker procured insurance coverage for Appleseed Farms. Until an employee was recently injured, Appleseed Farms did not know state law required worker's compensation coverage. Appleseed Farms now has no coverage for that accident.
 - A. Bob Broker had a duty to inform Appleseed Farms it was required by state law to obtain this coverage
 - **B.** Bob Broker had no duty to inform Appleseed Farms that state law required worker's compensation coverage



- Merrick v. Fischer, Rounds & Associates, Inc., 305 Neb.
 230 (2020)
- Client alleges Broker failed to advise of Nebraska's statutory requirement for employers to carry worker's compensation insurance
- Supreme Court of Nebraska: Broker did not have a duty to advise employer of its worker's compensation obligations



Other states are consistent with Nebraska

- Gemini Ins. Co. v. Meyer Jabara Hotels, LLC, --- A.3d ---; 2020 Pa.Super. LEXIS 277 (April 3, 2020) : Generally, brokers have no duty to advise clients about the client's insurance needs
- Yenchi v. Ameriprise Financial, Inc., 639 Pa. 618, 161 A.3d 811 (2017): However, a broker may have "confidential relationship" with client giving rise to enhanced duties of care



- Wakefern Food Court v. BWD Group, LLC, 2020 N.J.Super. Unpub. LEXIS 630 (App. Div. April 8, 2020): Verdict against broker upheld for negligently presenting a quote that included a large deductible.
- This case hinged on communications by broker at the time the client elected to renew policy



CURRENT TREND – BUSINESS INTERRUPTION CLAIMS

- Currently, Plaintiffs are alleging brokers did not procure policy that fully covered business income losses in connection with pandemic
- However, brokers are not required to predict pandemics and advise customers to purchase specific coverage, unless customer requests such coverage



CURRENT TREND – BUSINESS INTERRUPTION

- Vandelay Hospitality Group LP v. The Cincinnati Ins. Co., 3:20-CV-1348-D (N. Dist. Tex., Aug. 18, 2020)
- Plaintiff alleged broker negligently misrepresented policy would cover losses







CAREMARK CLAIMS

- Marchand v. Barnhill, 212 A.2d 305 (Del. 2019): "Caremark" case allowed to proceed against Blue Bell Ice Cream Company when complaint sufficiently plead directors did not have adequate board oversight for "mission critical" food safety performance.
- "If *Caremark* means anything, it is that a corporate board must make a good faith effort to exercise its duty of care. A failure to make that effort constitutes a breach of the duty of loyalty".



CAREMARK CLAIMS

- Boards have oversight duties and can be liable if they leave compliance and oversight entirely to management.
- Implications in terms of cybersecurity and privacy suits



COVID-19 AND D&O CLAIMS

- Like any major financial crisis or event- it will result in an uptick in D&O claims
- But where are those claims going to come?



COVID-19 AND D&O CLAIMS

- Claims relating to companies that experienced COVID-19 in their facilities: Norwegian Cruise Lines, GEO Group
- Claims involving companies that made misrepresentations about the company's ability to gain from the pandemic: Inovio
- Claims involving companies that have experienced financial hardships due to the pandemic: Colony Capital







HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY UPDATES

- Intuitively COVID and the lives lost and people harmed seems like grounds for an upswell in healtcare professional liability claims;
- Particularly true in the case of nursing homes, which have been hard hit;
- To counter this, many states have enacted qualified immunity statutes in regards to claims arising directly from COVID
- Examples: NJ Exec. Order 112, NY Exec Order 202



HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY UPDATES

- Even with enhanced liability shields, Plaintiff's lawyers find a way:
- Estate of Joseph Maglioli v. Andover Subacute Rehabilitation Ctr. (Superior Court of New Jersey, Sussex County 2020), case no. SSX-L-000176-20; (gross negligence exception);
- Gill et al. v. PA Dep't of Health (E.D. P.A. 2020), case no. 2:20-cv-02038; class of Plaintiffs sued a long-term care facility alleging violations of federal laws, including ADA;
- Sniadach v. Walsh, 3:20-cv-30115 (D. Mass 2020); Fourth Amendment Claims



HEALTHCARE PROFESSIONAL LIABILITY UPDATES

 For physicians telemedicine provision is a hot topic in terms of insurance coverage; generally policies cover this, but a physician needs to be aware of licensure issues



CLE & POST-WEBINAR SURVEY

CLE:

- ALFA INTERNATIONAL IS AN APPROVED PROVIDER OF CLE IN CALIFORNIA AND ILLINOIS. If you need credit in another state, you should consult with that state's CLE board for details on how to apply for approval. ALFAI provides a CLE package that answers questions you will likely be asked when applying and also gives direction as to what we believe is needed to apply in each state.
- NEW SERVICE: Some state CLE boards require verification of participation in webinars. To satisfy that requirement, ALFAI will now prompt participants to answer questions and/or provide a verification code, as we did in this webinar. If this is required in your state:
 - Please note these items on the Certificate of Completion you will receive after the webinar.
 - Keep a copy of the certificate for auditing purposes.
- If you encounter any difficulties in obtaining CLE credit in your state, please contact:
 - Aria Trombley Wolf awolf@alfainternational.com
- POST-WEBINAR SURVEY
 - You will be prompted to complete a **Post-Webinar Survey** after exiting this webinar. Your feedback will help ALFA International continue to provide quality programming to our members and clients.

ALFA International The Global Legal Network Celebrating 40 Years

THANK YOU! IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, PLEASE CONTACT ONE OF THE PRESENTERS



Jeff Hengeveld Plunkett Cooney Detroit, Michigan, USA E: jhengeveld@plunkettcooney.com T: (248) 594-8202



Jacob Lehman German, Gallagher & Murtagh, P.C. Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA E: lehmanj@ggmfirm.com T: (215) 545-7700



Todd Lubben Brown & James, P.C. St. Louis, Missouri, USA E: tlubben@bjpc.com T: (314) 242-5325

